

In re) Fair Hearing No. R-07/09-368
)
 Appeal of)

The petitioner appeals the decision by the Department for Children and Families, Economic Services Division, Office of Vermont Health Access that she and her husband are no longer eligible for VPharm benefits. The issue is whether the petitioner's household income exceeds the maximum allowable under that program.

1. The petitioner lives with her husband. She timely reported to the Department that her husband had returned to work in May 2009. In a decision dated June 23, 2009, the Department notified the petitioner that the household would be ineligible for VPharm benefits due to excess income.¹

¹ The Department found the petitioner and her husband eligible for the HVP program, which provides participants with pharmaceutical discounts.

significantly exceed the amount that her family income exceeds the VPharm maximum.

3. At the hearing (held on August 7, 2009) the petitioner was advised that she could reapply for VPharm based on any change in her family's circumstances, including a voluntary reduction in income.

ORDER

The Department's decision is affirmed.

REASONS

Income eligibility for VPharm is determined based on all earned and unearned income in the household. W.A.M. § 4001.81. As noted above, the petitioner does not dispute that her income for May (\$3,903.25) and June (\$2,849.75) was in excess of the VPharm program maximum of \$2,744 for a household of two persons. Procedures Manual § P-2420. Inasmuch as there is no dispute that the Department correctly determined the petitioner's income for May and June 2009, and that its decision was in accord with the above regulations, the Board is bound to affirm that decision. 3 V.S.A. § 3091(d), Fair Hearing Rule No. 1000.4D.

#